

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/691,372	10/22/2003	Chandra Sekhar Namuduri	GP-303269	4956	
7.	590 04/13/2004		EXAM	INER	
KATHRYN A MARRA General Motors Corporation			WILLIAMS, THOMAS J		
Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 300	92 <i>(</i>		3683		
Detroit, MI 48265-3000			DATE MAILED: 04/13/200	DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/691,372	NAMUDURI ET AL.	9
Office Action Sun	nmary	xaminer	Art Unit	
	Т	homas J. Williams	3683	
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	is communication appea	rs on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS  Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da  If the period for reply specified above is let  If NO period for reply is specified above, the  Failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	COMMUNICATION.  r the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a  te of this communication.  ss than thirty (30) days, a reply wit  ne maximum statutory period will a  period for reply will, by statute, car  three months after the mailing dat	a). In no event, however, may a thin the statutory minimum of thin apply and will expire SIX (6) MON use the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communical  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	tion.
Status				
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		tion is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in			ers, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pendi	ing in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s)		from consideration		
5) Claim(s) is/are allo				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-10,12-22,2</u>				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,11 and 23</u> is/ar		•		
8) Claim(s) are subject		ection requirement.		
Application Papers		·		
9) The specification is objecte	ad to by the Evenines			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22		\∏ accorded on b\□ -	binatad ta bu da r	
Applicant may not request the			` ,	
11) The oath or declaration is			(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
	objected to by the Exam	iller. Note the attached	Office Action of form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made		ority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ I	None of:			
1. Certified copies of the	ne priority documents ha	ave been received.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the copies of the copies of the copies of the copies.</li></ol>	ne priority documents ha	ave been received in A	pplication No	
<ol><li>Copies of the certific</li></ol>	ed copies of the priority	documents have been	received in this National Stage	
	International Bureau (P	` ''		
* See the attached detailed C	office action for a list of t	he certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			ummary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P</li> </ul>			)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	. 5 14-5 011 10/30/00)	6) Other:		
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action	Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040	1407

Art Unit: 3683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 25 is objected to because of the following informalities: the dependency of claim 25 is unclear. For examination purposes claims 25 will depend upon claim 24. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 24 recites the limitation "the support member" in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
  - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on salé in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 6,390,253 to Oliver.

Re-claims 1, 2, 4 and 6, Oliver discloses in figure 2B an impact energy absorbing system comprising: a sleeve 58 having a seal at each end, a magnetorheological fluid, a coil 74; a

Art Unit: 3683

primary impact surface fixed to a support member 64 and 66, the support member is in sliding engagement with the seals; the sleeve is fixed to a vehicle chassis; the support is formed of a soft magnetic material (column 7 lines 37-39); the fluid comprises ferromagnetic particles (such as iron powder, column 6 lines 2-4) in a carrier fluid.

7. Claims 9, 10, 12 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,947,238 to Jolly et al.

Re-claims 9, 10, 12 and 14-16, Jolly et al. discloses in figure 12a an impact energy absorbing system comprising: a sleeve having a seal at each end, a magnetorheological fluid, a permanent magnet 32; an impact surface fixed to a support member 27n, the support member is in sliding engagement with the seals; the sleeve is fixed to a vehicle chassis; the support (including the piston) is formed of a soft magnetic material (column 5 lines 25-27); the fluid comprises ferromagnetic particles in a carrier fluid (column 4 lines 48-55); the particles are in an amount of about 5 to 75 percent by volume of the fluid.

8. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8-10, 12, 14, 16-19, 21, 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 6,427,813 to Carlson.

Re-claims 1, 2, 4 and 6, Carlson discloses an impact energy absorbing system comprising: a sleeve 22 having a seal at each end, a magnetorheological fluid, a coil 40; a primary impact surface fixed to a support member 24 and 42, the support member is in sliding engagement with the seals; the sleeve is capable of being fixed to a vehicle chassis; the support is formed of a soft magnetic material (column 4 lines 27-29); the fluid comprises ferromagnetic particles (such as carbonyl iron, column 1 line 21) in a carrier fluid; the system includes a permanent magnet 25.

Art Unit: 3683

Re-claims 9, 10, 12, 14, 16 and 17, Carlson discloses an impact energy absorbing system comprising: a sleeve 22 having a seal at each end, a magnetorheological fluid, a permanent magnet 25; an impact surface fixed to a support member 24 and 42, the support member is in sliding engagement with the seals; the sleeve is capable of being fixed to a vehicle chassis; the support is formed of a soft magnetic material; the fluid comprises ferromagnetic particles in a carrier fluid; the system comprises an electromagnet 40.

Re-claims 18, 19, 21 and 22, Carlson discloses a process for absorbing energy from an impact of an object on an impact surface, the process comprising: detecting an impact with a sensor, sensors are mounted on an impact surface and a chassis (or stationary body), the impact surface is attached to a support member 24; the magnetic field can be varied in response to a signal provided by the sensor, energy from an impact is absorbed; the system can be used multiple times.

9. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,492,312 to Carlson.

Re-claim 24, Carlson discloses in figure 1 an impact energy absorbing device, comprising: a primary impact surface attached to a shaft 34, the shaft (or support member) is slidably engaged with a housing 26; a plurality of plates 38 and 42 are parallel to each other; a magnetorheological fluid is disposed between the plates; an electromagnet or permanent magnet (column 5 lines 41-42) is in proximity to the fluid.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3683

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 12. Claims 5, 7, 13, 15 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,427,813 to Carlson in view of US 5,525,249 to Kordonsky et al.

Re-claims 5, 7, 13, 15 and 20, Carlson teaches a magnetorheological fluid comprising carbonyl iron. However, Carlson is silent regarding the volume percent of the iron and the contents of the liquid carrier. Kordonsky et al. teaches a magnetorheological fluid comprising carbonyl iron within a 5 to 75 percent volume of the fluid and the use of silicone dioxide as a stabilizer. Kordonsky et al. teaches that this combination provides for a stable magnetorheological fluid, see column 1 lines 65-66. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as a matter of design choice to have utilized the fluid taught by Kordonsky et al. in the device of Carlson, thus providing the impact energy absorbing system with a stable magnetorheological fluid.

13. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carlson ('312) in view of Kordonsky et al.

Art Unit: 3683

Carlson teaches a magnetorheological fluid comprising carbonyl iron. However, Carlson is silent regarding the remaining contents of the liquid carrier. Kordonsky et al. teaches a magnetorheological fluid silicone dioxide as a stabilizer, thus providing a stable magnetorheological fluid, see column 1 lines 65-66. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as a matter of design choice to have utilized the fluid taught by Kordonsky et al. in the device of Carlson, thus providing the impact energy absorbing system with a stable magnetorheological fluid.

### Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claims 3, 11 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yoshida teaches an impact absorber having an MR fluid and secondary element comprising a spring. Watanabe et al. teaches an impact absorber having MR fluid and a spring element. Jakobs et al. teaches a damper having a plurality of plates with an MR fluid disposed between the plates. Jolly et al., Edmondson et al., and Pohl et al. each teach impact absorbers having an MR fluid.
- 16. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Williams whose telephone number is (703) 305-1346. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Art Unit: 3683

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder, can be reached at (703) 308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

TJW

April 7, 2004

THOMAS WILLIAMS PATENT EXAMINER

Thomas William

AU 3683

4-7-04